

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/606,299	MIN ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Michael Kahelin	3762

**All Participants:**

(1) Michael Kahelin.

**Status of Application:** Allowable

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) David Sarisky.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 18 December 2006

**Time:** 1pm

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

NA

Claims discussed:

1, 20, and 22

Prior art documents discussed:

NA

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner proposed an amendment to provide antecedent basis for the "blanking period" element. Applicant agreed and the claims were amended by Examiner's Amendment.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 12/18/06

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)